From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

CHRISTINE M. COCHRAN LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYTER, LTD. TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 N. STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing

(day/month/year) 29 MAY 2009

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

7054846001

International filing date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US06/38756

05 October 2006 (05.10.2006)

13 October 2005 (13.10.2005)

Applicant

HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES, INC.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

LAH

JC

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER RECEIVED

JUN 0 3 2009

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Authorized officer

PAY/TM Due Date

brian johnson

Telephone No. 571-272-2100

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or age	nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
7054846001	action No	International filing date (date)	an/month/near)	Priority date (day/month/yea	r)
**		_			
PCT/US06/38756	ot Classification (IPC)	or national classification and		13 October 2005 (13.10.200)	"
	•	of flational classification and	n c		
	ee Continuation Sheet ;536/23.5;530/387.1;4	24/139.1.9.1			
Applicant	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
• •	IE SCIENCES, INC.				
1. This r Exami	eport is the internationing Authority unde	tional preliminary examinar Article 35, and transmitter	nation report, establi ed to the applicant ac	shed by this International cording to Article 36.	Preliminary
2. This F	REPORT consists of	a total of <u></u> sheets, inclu	ding this cover sheet		
3. This r	eport is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, con	nprising:		
a	sent to the applica	nt and to the Internationa	al Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:	
	this report a and Section	nd/or sheets containing re	ectifications authoriz Instructions).	ve been amended and are t ed by this Authority (see l	Rule 70.16
	that goes bey	supersede earlier sheets, yond the disclosure in the d the Supplemental Box.	but which this Authoriternational applica	ority considers contain an a tion as filed, as indicated in	mendment n item 4 of
b	containii	ng a sequence listing ar : Supplemental Box Re	d/or tables related	and number of electronic ca thereto, in electronic forr Listing (see Section 8	n only, as
4. This r	report contains indica	ations relating to the follo	wing items:		
\boxtimes		asis of the report			
	Box No. II P	riority			
		on-establishment of opini	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and inc	lustrial
		ack of unity of invention			
\boxtimes	Box No. V R	easoned statement under	Article 35(2) with tions and explanation	regard to novelty, inverse supporting such statemen	tive step or
	Box No. VI C	ertain documents cited			
	Box No. VII C	ertain defects in the interr	national application	(6) E. L.	C
\boxtimes	Box No. VIII C	ertain observations on the		ition	
Date of submiss	ion of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
0 July 2008 (10.0	07 2008)		13 May 2009 (13.05.	2009)	
Name and mailing	address of the IPEA/	US	Authorized officer	To control of the con	
Mail Sto	p PCT, Attn: IPEA/US			T AND	
P.O. Box	sioner for Patents 1450		STACEY MACFAR		
Facsimile No. (57			Telephone No. (571)		3, VOIT & MAY <i>Received</i>
orm PCT/IPEA/40	9 (cover sheet)(April	2007)			
				JU	N 0 3 2009

PAY/TM Due Date_

International application No.	
PCT/US06/38756	

Bo	x No.	I Basis of the report
1.	With	regard to the language, this report is based on:
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed.
		a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
	to the	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished e receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not exed to this report):
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-165 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	,	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	\bowtie	the claims:
		pages 166-171 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	<u> </u>	. •
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
		pages 1/1 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	_	
	\boxtimes	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos.
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos.
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
5.	[C:4:	This report has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 70.2(e)). In 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	y nen	n + uppress, some or are of most should may be made superstand

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2007)

International application No. PCT/US06/38756

Statement Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE		YES
11010111 (11)		1-69		
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE		YE
mvonuvo stop (15)		1-69		NC
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-69		YE
11.4450.144.12pp.1300.115, (===)		NONE		
Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) ase See Continuation Sheet				
		×		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

International application No.

PCT/US06/38756

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: Claims 35-55 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 35-55 are indefinite for the following reason(s): the claims fail to recite the active method steps by which to determine a reduction in the frequency or quantity of corticosteroid use of a patient. Reduction is a relative term and the requisite degree by which the reduction is ascertained is not put forth within the claim, nor does the specification recite any guidance as to how an artisan would practice the method.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (April 2007)

International application No.

PCT/US06/38756

upplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:				
1. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
on paper				
in electronic form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in the international application as filed				
filed together with the international application in electronic form				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination				
received by this Authority as an amendment* on				
2. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
3. Additional comments:				
* If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked "superseded."				

International application No. PCT/US06/38756

Supplemental Box

titers typically >1:80.

Claims 1, 18-19. 21-34 and 56-69 lack an inventive step under PCT Articlg 33(3) as being obvious over BRAM et al., RUBEN et al., GROSS et al. (2000) and GROSS et al. (2002) as applied to claims 1-19 in view of Arthritis and Rheumatism, Volume 50(11), November 2004, pages 3418-3426. Claims are drawn to a method of treating a patient that has an ANA titer > 1:80 comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of an antagonist of Neutrokine-alpha, wherein the patient has a SELENA-SLEDAI score > 6. While the BRAM et al., RUBEN et al. GROSS et al. (2000) and GROSS et al. (2002) each teach methods of treating patients comprising an embodiment of an antagonist of Neutrokine-alpha, they do not teach methods further comprising measuring a SELENA-SLEDAI score of> 6. The Arthritis and Rheumatism article, published by the American College of Rheumatology Ad Hoc Committee on SLE Resonse Criteria, states that it was well-known within the art to characterize lupus patients according to their SELENA-SLEDAI score. As Tables 2 and 3 of the reference demonstrate, subjects typically display a SELENA-SLEDAI score that are greater than 6. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the references in order to better characterize the patient according to known methods.

Claims I, 3, 15, 13-15, 18 and 20 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over BRAM et al., RUBEN et al. GROSS et al. (2000) and GROSS et al. (2002) as applied to claims 1-19 in view of LOONEY R.J. Rheumatology, Volume 44, Supplement 2, pages ii 13-iii 7, published May 2005. Claims are drawn to a method of treating a patient that has an ANA titer > 1:80 comprising co-administering an anti CD20 antibody. While the BRAM et al., RUBEN et al. GROSS et al. (2000) and GROSS et al. (2002) each teach methods of treating patients comprising an embodiment of an antagonist of Neutrokine-alpha, they do not teach methods further comprising administering an anti-CD20 antibody. However, the LOONEY reference teaches that it was well-known in the art prior to filing that anti-CD20 therapy was useful to treat a variety of refractory autoimmune diseases. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the teachings of the prior art.